

Induced Parental Alienation – A Serious Form of Child Psychological Abuse

Dr. med. Wilfrid von Boch-Galhau — 2020

Citation

This presentation was prepared for the 2020 conference in Zagreb, Croatia, organized by the European Association of Parental Alienation Practitioners (EAPAP). The conference was postponed due to the coronavirus pandemic.

The author is a specialist in psychiatry, psychosomatic medicine, and psychotherapy. Dr. med. Boch-Galhau was formerly a member of the interdisciplinary working group *Beratung bei Trennung und Scheidung* (Counselling during Separation and Divorce), Würzburg, Germany. He was a co-organiser of the 2002 international Parental Alienation Syndrome conference, Frankfurt/Main, Germany.

This presentation was kindly translated from German into English by Guy and Ulla Knight-Jones.

Address for correspondence: Dr. med. Wilfrid von Boch-Galhau, Oberer Dallenbergweg 15, D-97082 Würzburg (Germany). Email: praxis@drvboch.de.

Abstract

Induced parental alienation (PA) is a specific form of child psychological child abuse. *Child psychological abuse* was recently listed in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual* (DSM-5) of the American Psychiatric Association (APA) under the diagnostic code V995.51 and in the World Health Organisation (WHO)'s *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-11) under code QE82.2. Untreated induced PA can lead to long-term traumatic psychological and physical effects in the children concerned. This fact is still not given sufficient attention in family court cases. This presentation gives a condensed overview of PA, summarising its definition, the symptoms, and the various levels of severity. It also describes some major alienation techniques and possible psychosomatic and psychiatric effects of induced PA. Finally, it gives examples of programmes of prevention and intervention in some countries, currently at the trial stage. The presentation concludes with a comprehensive list of international references and 3 interviews with 5 children as examples from psychiatric practise.

Keywords. Induced parent–child alienation, parental alienation, high-conflict separation/ divorce, child psychological abuse, psychotraumatic long-term consequences, intervention programs.

Introduction

As an adult psychiatrist and psychotherapist, I have been concerned with the fields of parental alienation (PA) and the parental alienation syndrome/disorder for about 20 years. Time and again, I experience the suffering of affected adult children of divorce and affected excluded mothers, fathers and grandparents who have lost contact with their children or grandchildren for many years or altogether following separation or divorce. During this time,

supporters and opponents of the concept, both scientists and practitioners, have been engaging in major—partly ideological—debates (Warshak, 2010b; Rand, 2011) over whether or not the undoubtedly real phenomenon of induced PA is a “syndrome,” what it should be called, and whether it exists at all (Gijseghem, 2004; Hirigoyen, 2016; Bernet, 2015). These debates appear very sterile. The key question is whether, in separation or divorce, there are fathers or mothers who manipulate their child in such a way that s/he permanently refuses contact with the other parent, and whether this has an adverse effect on the child’s mental health and development.

In this context I have tried to show here that induced PA (among international experts, the term “parental alienation” without the “syndrome” has more or less become established) is in fact a serious form of child psychological abuse that can be linked to long-term traumatic psychological and physical effects on the personality development of the child and later the adult (Klosinski, 1993; Boch-Galhau, 2013a).

With regard to PA, the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-5) (the applicable diagnostic tool in the United States, and also internationally) refers to a clinically relevant parent–child relational problem that has a considerable impact on the affected children. This is not officially recognised everywhere under the term *parental alienation*, which is why the American Psychiatric Association (APA) has so far not explicitly included the term in DSM-5. Two new and two old diagnoses, have, however, been included: *child psychological abuse*, *parent–child relational problem*, *child affected by parental relationship distress*, and *induced delusional disorder*. These permit clinical practitioners and court experts in psychology/psychiatry to identify children and young people affected by PA and apply differential diagnosis (Boch-Galhau, 2013b; Bernet, Wamboldt & Narrow, 2016).

The World Health Organisation (WHO)’s *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-11) used worldwide refers to “parental alienation” since 18th June 2018. (Code QE 52.0, under *caregiver–child relationship problem* as index factor. This was confirmed by the seventy-second World Health Assembly on 25th May 2019. The long discussion about PA seemed to be ended with that decision. But then, in September 2019 a questionable document was posted on the ICD-11 website by a group from the fields “Child abuse,” “Domestic violence,” and with a feminist focus called “Collective Memo of Concern to World Health Organization,” which strongly criticized that very decision so that the Medical and Scientific Advisory Committee (MSAC) secretariat removed it on 25th February 2020. That means: The debate goes on. Since efforts are generally made to coordinate the content of DSM-5 and ICD-11, it can be assumed that similar diagnoses for *parental alienation* will be included in the ICD-11.

The phenomenon of PA has been described in the psychiatric literature for at least 60 years (Stephens, 2016); however, it has only been labelled as such since the 1980s or 1990s. At least six researchers or teams of researchers have independently identified children from separated or divorced families who were alienated from one parent for no rational reason. Wallerstein and Kelly (1976, 1980), Johnston (2005) and Johnston and Roseby (1997) referred to “pathological alignment” and to “visitation refusal.” Gardner (1985) coined the term “parental alienation syndrome,” which was also used by Kopetski (1998a, 1998b, 2006) and by Kopetski, Rand and Rand (2005, 2006). Clawar and Rivlin (1991, 2013) refer to “programmed and brainwashed children.” Kelly and Johnston (2001) coined the term “the alienated child,”

and Warshak (2006) refers to “pathological alienation.” Bernet (2008) and Bernet et al. (2010) use the terms “parental alienation disorder” and “parental alienation.”

The phenomenon of PA has since been observed and described by many researchers and psychiatric/psychological practitioners around the globe, for example: Hellblom-Sjögren, 2003; Gardner et al. (2006); Bernet (2010); Novković, Buljan-Flander and Hercigonja (2012); Foran et al. (2013); Lorandos et al. (2013); Whitcombe (2013); Hinterhofer et al. (2013); Paricard (2013); Broca & Odinetz (2016); Hirigoyen (2016); Woodall & Woodall (2014, 2016); Fernández Cabanillas (2017); Bensussan (2017); Warshak (2020); and recently Lorandos and Bernet, 2020.

In the current clinical literature, a distinction is made between *parental alienation* (unjustified rejection of one parent following manipulation and indoctrination of the child) and *estrangement* (justified rejection of one parent following a real history of neglect, physical and sexual abuse or domestic violence). For example: Fidler et al., 2008; Sauber, 2011; Baker et al., 2012; Fidler, Bala and Saini, 2013; Lorandos et al., 2013; Ackerman and Gould, 2015; Bernet et al., 2016a, 2016b). Today, the international specialist literature contains more than one thousand three hundred publications of scientific relevance from over 55 countries on parental alienation, the parental alienation syndrome, and related subjects. (See the Parental Alienation Database, 2016, of the Center for Knowledge Management, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA).

The international specialist literature indicates that the prevalence of PA in the United States is approximately 1% of children and adolescents (Bernet, 2010) or even more (Harman & Biringen, 2016, and Harman et al., 2016). There are no precise figures for Europe.

Definition of Parental Alienation

The concept of PA is defined by three elements (Warshak, 2006):

- Rejection or denigration of one parent that reaches the level of a campaign, i.e., persistent behaviour rather than occasional episodes
- The hostile attitude of rejection is irrational, i.e., alienation is not an appropriate response to the behaviour of the rejected parent and not based on actual negative experiences with the rejected parent
- It is partially the result of influence of the alienating parent (and/or other important attachment figures)

Parental alienation is an interactional process where systematically one parent’s role, for the children, is eroded.

Symptoms of Parental Alienation

- Irrational campaign of denigration and hatred
- Absurd rationalisations (unjustified, absurd reasons given for the attitude of rejection)

- Lack of normal ambivalence (idealisation of one parent and demonisation of the other, black-and-white thinking)
- Reflexive support of the programming parent
- Denigration not just of the targeted parent but also of that parent's extended family and friends
- The "independent-thinker" phenomenon (the child's "own opinion" and "own will" are stressed)
- Lack of guilt over the cruel treatment of the alienated parent (the alienated parent is rejected with apparent lack of feeling or emotion)
- Use of "borrowed scenarios" (same accusations as those voiced by the alienating parent)

For validation see, for instance: Rueda, 2004; Burrill, 2006; Baker and Darnall, 2006, 2007; Hachenberg, 2014.

Differentiation of Parental Alienation on a Continuum of Three Levels of Severity, Each of Which Requires Specific Treatment Methods

In *mild cases* of PA (Darnall, 2013) the child refuses contact with the non-resident parent, but enjoys it when contact has been made. The child can still distance himself/herself from the denigrations of that parent made by the alienating parent.

In *moderate cases* of PA (Worenklein, 2013) the symptoms are strongly manifest, with considerable problems in contact and handing over of the child. The child will stubbornly refuse contact, but responds once contact is made and when the alienating parent is absent.

In *severe cases* of PA (Warshak, 2013) the child will radically and without objective reasons refuse contact with one parent (father or mother) with whom s/he previously had a loving attachment, because s/he has internalised a false negative image of the parent.

The attitude of rejection and level of negativity vary considerably between the mild and moderate forms. The child manifests an extremely polarised view of his/her parents (black and white). In such a case, the family court in collaboration with a specially trained expert psychologist or therapist will be the final authority who can either interrupt the alienation process (for instance, with sanctions or a believable announcement or possibly implementation of custody transfer) or ensure its permanence (through passive waiting: "If the child does not want to, there is nothing we can do.") (Kopetski, Rand & Rand, 2005; Warshak, 2015a, 2015b).

The presence and degree of PA are diagnosed on the basis of the behaviour observed in a child, not on the basis of the degree of manipulation to which the child is exposed. A careful evaluation (Bricklin & Elliott, 2006; Sauber & Worenklein, 2013) of the entire family system and identification of the manipulating person(s) is indispensable. Also, the role of the so-called alienated parent and his or her possible contribution to the process of alienation need to be evaluated, in order to avoid a misdiagnosis.

Parental alienation is not the same as obstructing access for the noncustodial parent, or any kind of refusal of contact or alienation, as many believe (summary of the debate by Gödde, 2008); it is, in fact, a psychiatrically relevant disorder in children that results from traumatization (Gardner, 1998, 2001a, 2001b; Warshak, 2006, 2015a, 2015b). It concerns the child's cognitive and emotional levels and his/her behaviour. In contrast to other—for instance, psychodynamic—interpretations of contact refusal by children (Figdor, 2003), PA always involves a severe obstruction of contact and/or manipulation and indoctrination of the child by others. Active manipulation is carried out—consciously or not—by the primary caregiver and/or other important attachment figures for the child. These manipulative persons are usually found to have specific psychological problems, such as severe narcissistic and/or borderline personality disorders (Kopetski, 1998a, 1998b; Siegel & Langford, 1998; Hirigoyen, 2016), traumatic childhood experiences (Blank, 2003; Bernet et al. 2015), paranoid coping with the divorce conflict, or psychosis (Gardner, 1998, 2001a; Johnston & Girdner, 2001; Johnston, 2005).

The attitude and behaviour of professionals accompanying the divorce process also play an important role in the course of the alienation process. (Andritzky, 2002a, 2002b; Harman & Biringen, 2016).

Important Alienation Techniques in Parental Alienation

In a study of 97 adults who described themselves as victims of PA Baker and Darnall (2006) identified 66 different alienation strategies, 11 of which were mentioned by at least 20% of the study subjects. Significant alienation techniques in the induction of PA are, among others, denigration, reality-distorting negative presentation of the other parent, boycott of visitation, rupture of contacts, planned misinformation, suggestive influence, and confusing double-bind messages. Sometimes direct psychological threats (such as withdrawal of love, suicide threats) or physical threats (hitting, locking in) are used against children (Baker & Darnall, 2006, 2007). Two documentaries by G. Gebhard (see the References), “Victims of Another War – The Aftermath of Parental Alienation” (2005) and “Sarah Cecilie” (2016), show the problem from the point of view of formerly alienated children. (I recommend to look at these two films.) This enhances the loyalty conflict in the child, which exists in any case in a divorce situation. Fear, dependence on, submission of the child, making him/her pliable, and his/her identification with the alienating party play an important role (Cierpka & Cierpka, 2000; Baker, 2005a, 2005b, 2007). Related psychodynamics can be found in the Stockholm syndrome, in cases of hostage taking.

In a separate chapter of his book, Lowenstein (2007) explains the *Stockholm syndrome* in the context of the well-known “Natascha Kampusch abduction case” in Austria, showing how it relates to the PA (syndrome). In sect systems, too, similar mechanisms come into play (Thaler-Singer, 2003; Baker, 2005b). Some cases of the severe form of PA show similarities in their dynamics with the *Munchausen by proxy syndrome*, a disorder that involves parents artificially inducing or exaggerating symptoms of illness in their children (Rand, 1993; Eckhardt-Henn, 2000). The affected children depend upon outside help.

Psychiatric and Psychosomatic Effects of Parental Alienation Induction on Affected Adult Children of Divorce

A number of international authors consider PA induction as a form of psychological child abuse (Gardner, 1998, 2001a, 2001b; Kelly & Johnston, 2001; Deegener & Körner, 2005; Hirigoyen, 2016). This places PA in the field of psychotraumatology. In legal terms, it can be classified as a psychological hazard to the welfare of a child resulting from an abuse of parental care that exploits the dependency relationship of the child. (Kodjoe & Koepfel, 1998; Oberlandesgericht Frankfurt/M., 2000 (Frankfurt/Main higher regional court); Palandt et al., 2008; Staudingers, 2014). Some critics of the PA concept trivialise this or deny it, reducing the problem to the “parental conflict” or the child’s “conflicting loyalties” during separation or divorce. (In the German-speaking area, for instance, Salzgeber, 2003, 2011; Salgo, 2006; Fegert, 2001, 2013a, 2013b; Heiliger & Hack, 2008; and others. Examples in USA include Bruch, 2001; Faller, 1998, 2020; Clemente, Padilla-Racero et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2016; Dallah & Silberg, 2016; Meier & Dickson, 2017; Geffner & Sandoval, 2020a, 2020b. The work published in the U.S. by Warshak, 2006, 2015a, 2020; Lorandos, 2006; Kopetski, 2006; Baker, Kase-Gottlieb & Verroccio, 2016, and recently Lorandos & Bernet, 2020, address this seriously distorted and biased misinformation on parental alienation theory and practice in considerable detail.

Children and adolescents experiencing their parents’ repeated severe marriage crises, aggressive conflicts, and traumatic separation and divorce, may suffer from personal development disorders as a result of these chronic, diffuse stresses (Felitti et al., 1998, Adverse Childhood Experiences). In 70–90% of borderline personality disorders found in adults, childhood trauma could be shown retrospectively (Zanarini et al., 1997).

In PA cases of the severe form, there is often a long-term, or even permanent, rupture of the relationship and contact between the child and the parent, sometimes also between siblings, with the related pathological consequences (Binckli, 2001; Bäuerle & Moll-Strobel, 2001; Petri, 2011) The psychological trauma suffered by the PA child, the left-behind parent, and other close relatives (such as the grandparents) is rarely given adequate consideration (Kolk et al., 2000; Kodjoe, 2000). People who have been traumatised in this way will later often suffer considerable psychological, psychosomatic, or psychiatric problems and seek treatment at psychiatric and/or psychotherapeutic practices and clinics (Bron et al., 1991; Kernberg et al., 2000; Boch-Galhau & Kodjoe, 2003). In her book, Hummel (2010) explains why it is particularly common that fathers are more often victims of induced PA. In the chapter “Entfremdete Kinder” (“Alienated children”) the author presents the well-researched PA case of “Timo,” an object lesson for professionals involved in divorce processes.

This matches a finding from divorce research, which says that the primary negative aspect of parental divorce is the resulting loss of a parent for the child. The consequences for the child resulting from a lack of availability of the mother or father have been described widely in the literature (Wallerstein & Kelly, 1980; Hetherington & Kelly, 2002).

PA induction in a child results in a confused self-perception and perception of others, and in profound self-alienation. The children forget how to trust their own feelings and perceptions. They are dependent on the goodwill of the programming, manipulating parent. They lose their sense of reality and of their own profile. Their own identity becomes uncertain, faded,

and brittle. This can result in a negative self-assessment or a completely exaggerated opinion of oneself, a lack of self-esteem, and a deep sense of insecurity. The children cannot adequately develop their individuality and independence. This can result in specific personality disorders (F. 60 in ICD-10) with the “false self” phenomenon (Winnicott, 1990), such as can be found with eating disorders, addictions, posttraumatic stress disorders, and other mental and psychosomatic disorders (Uexküll, 2002).

The imposed, active rejection, denial and reality-distorting negative image of a previously loved parent are more damaging to the children’s self and their core, particularly parts of their autobiographical self and their roots, than the loss as such (for instance, in the event of a death). Both severe feelings of guilt and the parent’s share in the child’s personality have to be suppressed or split. He or she has no stable roots in the severed parent’s family-of-origin system. This can result in additional long-term developmental and relationship problems, some of which may be passed on to the next generation (Mullen et al., 1996; Gordon, 1998; Wallerstein et al., 2000). Psychological abuse is difficult to identify because it often manifests itself not as an intention to harm. However, because of its devastating and long-term psychopathological impact, it must be no more tolerated than other forms of abuse. Children must be kept safe from it.

As with the age of criminal responsibility, an assessment of the supposed wishes of a child needs to take into account whether the child’s level of development is such that he or she can be assumed to make free-will decisions or whether the apparently “independent wishes of the child” are not in fact based on manipulation (“independent-thinker phenomenon” as a symptom of PA).

In view of the research into associated aspects of developmental psychology and systemic components (such as loyalty conflicts and the destructive conflict dynamics of divorce (Minuchin, 1974) as well as into children’s memory and their suggestibility from adults, social influences or forced influence (Loftus & Ketcham, 1995; Ceci & Bruck, 2002) and in view of the experiences gained with indoctrination of children and adolescents in sects and ideological systems (Thaler-Singer, 2003; Baker, 2005 b), the wishes a child voices and a child’s recollection are particularly significant in acrimonious separation and divorce, in the diagnosis of PA, and particularly PA linked with accusations of child sexual abuse.

To prevent seriously wrong decisions (Cases that caused particular controversy in Europe for instance: *In Germany* the “*Wormser Missbrauchsprozesse*”(sexual abuse trials of Worms), (Steller, 1998) and *in France* “*l’Affaire d’Outreau*” (*The affair of Outreau*) (Beermann, 2004; Dossier special Outreau, www.acalpa.org), for children and parents as regards contact and custody rights, differential diagnosis in the latter case must distinguish carefully between (a) real sexual abuse; (b) “abuse of abuse” as a strategy or pathology (for instance, projection of sexual fantasies onto a later partner after traumatic childhood experiences of abuse; paranoid response to the experience of separation and divorce; psychoses) and (c) false accusations of abuse in cases of PA. (Bernet, 1993, 2006; Steller, 1998; Volbert, 2004).

PA is an international phenomenon, which empirical studies have shown to exist in various countries (Dum, 2013a), and which is reflected in around 1500 court rulings, for instance, in the United States and Canada (Bernet, 2010; Lorandos, 2013; Lorandos & Bernet, 2020); in

the Brazilian law on Parental Alienation/Law 12318 of 2010 (Brockhausen, 2013); in the laws of some other South American countries (Dum, 2013a) and in rulings by the Strasbourg-based European Court of Human Rights (ECHR), for instance, Sommerfeld v Germany (2003); Koudelka and Zavrel v Czech Republic (2006 and 2007); Plasse-Bauer v France (2006); Minecheva v Bulgaria (2010); Bordeiana v Moldova (2011) and others (see Dum, 2013 b). Recent cases include: K. B. and others v. Croatia (2017) (<https://strasbourgobservers.com/2017/04/25/k-b-and-others-v-croatia-the-courts-first-steps-to-tackle-parental-alienation>); Aneva and others v. Bulgaria (2017) <http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-176982>; Case of R. I. and others v. Romania (2018) <http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng?i=001-187931>; and Case of Pisciă v. Moldavia (2019) [https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\"itemid\":\[\"001-197214\"\]}](https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/eng#{\). In France by the national court of appeal (Cour de Cassation, 2013) and in rulings by the higher regional courts of several European countries, for instance, England, France, Germany, Italy, Romania, Spain, Sweden and Switzerland and others (Bernet, 2010).

Despite the significance of PA both for mental health and legal professionals, and despite its acceptance in hundreds of court rulings around the world, the phenomenon is still denied and rejected by some colleagues. This continues to lead to questionable recommendations in expert reports and decisions in family courts, i.e., alienating parents are—unconditionally—awarded sole custody while alienated parents are excluded from contact, supposedly to let the child settle down (see for example Heuchemer, 2017). But this settling down is a deceptive calm; in fact, it is “harmful to child development” (Klenner, 1995, citing John Bowlby, 1969, 1973: “stages of protest – despair – resignation – detachment/denial”, in the sense of reactive depression and mental deprivation in childhood).

Some Programmes of Prevention and Intervention

One form of interdisciplinary collaboration has been successfully practiced since 1993 in the Cochem court district in the German state of Rhineland Palatinate. It is known in Germany, also in political circles, as “Cochemer Praxis,” the Cochem approach. This would appear to us an effective way of preventing the development of PA and the related social, medical-psychological and financial consequences. The Cochem approach is essentially based on scheduling family court cases quickly, and on the principle of “conflict resolution through multi-professional networking” or the “prescribed cooperation in family conflicts as a process of attitude change” (Füchsle-Voigt, 2004) from the point of view of an expert psychologist, and (Rudolph, 2007) from the point of view of a former family judge. The Cochem approach has developed from practice. Its theoretical basis is traditional sociopsychological attitude research and the well-known theory of dissonance (Festinger, 1957). The aims of this method are the de-escalation of the parental conflict by moving away from a “winner-loser attitude” in the parents and professionals, and the restoration of parental autonomy and responsibility based on the protection of both the children’s and the parents’ rights. It requires the involvement of inter-disciplinary professional groups with a high level of experience and skill in working with high-conflict families of divorce. Today, there are a number of programmes of intervention with a similar approach in Europe (See Broca, 2016; Marique, 2016). German-speaking countries (particularly Austria and Switzerland) have been offering a collaborative law approach involving psychologists and legal professionals, similar to mediation, for some years.

Once the child is set in a strong attitude of rejection, it becomes very difficult to introduce suitable help and intervention. Many parents, but also social workers from the youth welfare office, judges, therapists and court experts, resign in such cases, which appear unsolvable. They advise waiting until the child one day initiates contact with the rejected parent. Opinions of professionals are divided on this issue. It may work in some cases. However, quite many cases exist, where contact was established only after many years, when the child was already a mature adult, or not at all, because the inner and outer emotional relationship had been fundamentally destroyed.

In Canada, England, USA, Australia, South Africa, Mexico, Israel, Croatia and Spain some programs of psychological intervention are now used and evaluated; the following are some examples: See: “Therapeutic interventions for children with parental alienation syndrome” (Gardner, 2001a); “The psychological effects and treatment of the Parental Alienation Syndrome” and “Parental Alienation: How to understand and address PA resulting from acrimonious divorce or separation” (Lowenstein, 2006, 2007); “Family bridges: Using insights from social science to reconnect parents and alienated children” (Warshak, 2010a); “Commentary on ‘Family bridges ...’” (Kelly, 2010); “Helping alienated children with family bridges” (Warshak & Otis, 2010); “When a child rejects a parent: tailoring the intervention to fit the problem” (Friedlander & Walters, 2010); “Toxic divorce: A workbook for alienated parents” (Reay, 2011); “The psychosocial treatment of parental alienation” (Darnell, 2011); “A Family therapy and collaborative system approach to amelioration” (Gottlieb, 2012); “PIVIP – Programa de intervención para víctimas de interferencias parentales” (Tejedor Huerta et al., 2013); “Reunification planning and therapy” (Sauber, 2013); “Working with alienated children and families – A clinical guidebook” (Baker & Sauber, 2013); “The application of structural family therapy to the treatment of Parental Alienation Syndrome” (Gottlieb, 2013); “Understanding and working with the alienated child” (Woodall & Woodall, 2014, 2016); “Family reflections: A promising therapeutic program designed to treat severely alienated children and their family system” (Reay, 2015); “An attachment-based model of Parental Alienation – Foundations” Childress, 2015); and “Restoring family connections” (Baker, 2016). The latter is a new resource available for licensed mental health professionals working with targeted parents and their adult alienated children to use in their outpatient practice.

In the Family Separation Clinic, London, U.K., directed by Karen and Nick Woodall a child protection approach is used. They say:

Where alienation is identified, we utilise a legal and mental health interlock (in which the legal intervention deals with the power and control element through the threat of sanction and the mental health intervention deals with the issue within the family) to produce the conditions in which dynamic change for the child becomes possible, and implement a structured intervention based on immediate relief of splitting in the child. This typically involves an immediate reconnection with the rejected parent through in situ therapeutic interventions where possible or, where determined by the court, a change of residence with a therapeutic bridging plan. This approach seeks to protect the child as a matter of priority by constraining the alienating parent’s behaviours, where possible, or protecting the child from the source of harm where constraint is not possible. Further, it always seeks to protect the child’s right to a relationship with

both parents and supports a permanent resolution of child's defensive splitting.
(Woodall & Woodall, 2019)

These psycho-educational and family therapy programmes, which may also be of interest to other countries, attempt to help severely alienated children of divorce rebuild the lost relationship to one parent and their lost identity. They show that—contrary to popular opinion—it is indeed possible to mitigate PA in high-conflict cases (Warshak, 2015a, 2015b). These are the aims of these programmes: to initiate contact and a relationship between the child and the alienated parent; to provide psycho-educational training to the parents; child-focused parental involvement; to re-establish reality and correct the child's and parents' distorted perceptions of the self and others' perceptions; to relieve the burden on the children and assist them in distancing themselves in the conflict of loyalties between the parents; to rebuild the destroyed emotional relationship with new, forward-looking shared experiences in a clearly structured, safe and relaxed context; to restore functioning communication; to improve the handling of conflicts and reorganise family relationships. The children learn to develop a more realistic and balanced view of both their parents and to reduce black-and-white thinking. Experiences with the programmes and evaluations have so far shown a high success rate. If interested, you can find details of this work in the literature mentioned.

Concluding Remarks

In view of the psycho-traumatic long-term effects of pathological alienation and contact loss, the development of PA in children and parents cannot be viewed as a private family affair. In cases of high-conflict separation or divorce battles where the children are used and manipulated and there is thus a risk of PA development, the early active and interdisciplinary collaboration of all professions involved is essential, to reduce the parental conflict through adequate interventions. In particular the special psychological issue of alienating parents needs to be taken into account in this.

Where this is not possible, because of one parent's or even both parents' psychopathological situation, compulsory psychological counselling and directive or confrontational interventions and/or structural family court actions are required (such as sanctions or custody transfer with psychological support), because this is where the limits of family autonomy are reached. PA is then no longer a custody issue but a child protection issue. Reports of experiences gained in Canada, Britain, the United States, Australia, South Africa, Croatia, Spain and in a few cases in Germany, encourage a stronger directive and confrontational approach. They are supported by research findings that rate these interventions as having a similar level of success as interventions of a voluntary nature. Complementary legislation may well have to be considered. (see for instance Templer et al., September 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6427.1213>)

As regards future scientific research (particularly in the fields child and adult psychiatry, psychosomatics, and psychotraumatology), it will be necessary to conduct further systematic empirical studies of larger samples with standardised measures and suitable scientific controls to resolve some of the existing controversies regarding the validity and reliability of the PA diagnosis—in the sense of a secondary disorder in children that results from severely manipulative misconduct by the parents and/or other important attachment figures—and to further

strengthen the scientific validity of the PA concept. This also includes further epidemiological clinical studies of the long-term progression and effects of PA, and of the result of effective interventions at different severity levels of the disorder. Findings from future studies should help us gain a better understanding of improved ways to help pathologically alienated children and their families, in view of the rising divorce rate. — As for inclusion of “parental alienation” in the World Health Organisation (WHO)’s *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD-11), it remains to be seen what the responsible scientists ultimately will decide.

References

- Ackermann, M. J. & Gould, J. W. (2015), Child Custody and Access, in: Cutler, B. L. & Zapf, P. A. (Eds.), *APA Handbook of Forensic Psychology*, Vol. 1, Individual and situational influences in criminal and civil contexts, pp. 454 – 457. American Psychological Association, Washington DC.
- Andritzky, W. (2002 a). Verhaltensmuster und Persönlichkeitsstruktur entfremdender Eltern: Psychosoziale Diagnostik und Orientierungskriterien für Interventionen. [Behavioural patterns and personality structure of alienating parents: Psychosocial and diagnostic criteria for intervention] [German]. *Psychotherapie in Psychiatrie, Psychotherapeutischer Medizin und Klinischer Psychologie* 7 (2): 166 - 182.
- Andritzky, W. (2002 b). Zur Problematik kinderärztlicher Atteste bei Umgangs- und Sorgerechtsstreitigkeiten. [Concerning problems with pediatric reports in the context of visitation- and custody litigation] [German] *Kinder- und Jugendarzt* 33 (11): 885 - 889 and 33 (12): 984 - 990.
- American Psychiatric Association (APA). (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 5 (DSM) (5th ed.)*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- Baker, A. J. L. (2005 a). The Long-Term Effects of Parental Alienation on Adult Children: A Qualitative Research Study. *American Journal of Family Therapy* 33 (4): 289 – 302.
- Baker, A. J. L. (2005 b). The Cult of Parenthood: A Qualitative Study of Parental Alienation, *Cultic Studies Review* 4 (1): 1 – 29.
- Baker, A. J. L. (2007). *Children of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Breaking the Ties that Bind*. W. W. Norton & Company, New York, London. Baker, A. J. L. (2016). *Restoring Family Connections*, www.amyjlbaker.wix.com/rfcprogram (accessed 1st March 2017)
- Baker, A. J. L. & Darnall, D. (2006). Behaviors and Strategies Employed in Parental Alienation: A Survey of Parental Experiences, *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage* 45 (1/2): 97 – 123.
- Baker, A. J. L. & Darnall, D. (2007). A construct study of the eight symptoms of severe parental alienation syndrome: A survey of parental experiences. *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage* 47 (1/2): 55 – 75.
- Baker, A. J. L., Burkhard, B. & Kelly, J. (2012). Differentiating alienated from not alienated children: A pilot study. *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage* 53 (3): 178 – 193.
- Baker, A. J. L. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.) (2013). *Working with Alienated Children and Families – A Clinical Guidebook*, Routledge, New York, NY.
- Baker, A. J. L., Kase-Gottlieb, L. & Verrocchio, M. C. (2016). The reality of parental alienation: Commentary on Judicial decision-making in family law proceedings by

- Clemente, Padilla-Racero, Gandoy-Crego, Reig-Botella & Gonzalez-Rodriguez”
American Journal of Family Therapy 44 (1): 46 -51
- Bäuerle, S. & Moll-Strobel, H. (Eds.) (2001). Eltern sägen ihr Kind entzwei,
Trennungserfahrungen und Entfremdung von einem Elternteil. [Parents Sawing Their
Child Apart: Separation Experiences and Alienation of a Parent] [German]. Auer,
Donauwörth.
- Beermann, M. (2004). Ein Prozess wird zum Justiz-Skandal. [A trial turns into a legal scan-
dal] [German]. Süddeutsche Zeitung 121, 26th May 2004.
- Bensussan, P. (2017). Alienation Parentale, abus psychologique de l’enfant et DSM-5
[Eltern-Kind-Entfremdung, psychischer Kindesmissbrauch und DSM-5] [French]:
L’Encéphale 43: 510 – 515.
- Bernet, W., (1993). False statements and the differential diagnosis of abuse allegations. Jour-
nal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 32 (5): 903 – 910.
- Bernet, W. (2006). Sexual abuse allegations in the context of child custody disputes, In:
Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.): The International Handbook of
Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations, pp.
242 – 263, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Bernet, W. (2008). Parental Alienation Disorder and DSM-V. American Journal of Family
Therapy 36 (5): 349 - 366.
- Bernet, W. (2010). Parental Alienation, DSM-5 and ICD-11, C. C. Thomas Publ., Spring-
field, IL.
- Bernet, W. (2015). Parental Alienation – Misinformation versus Facts, Judges Journal, Vol.
54, No.3: 23 – 27, Summer, 2015.
- Bernet, W. & Baker, A. J. L. (2013). Parental Alienation, DSM-5 und ICD-11: Response to
critics. Journal of American Academy of Psychiatry and Law 41 (1): 98 – 104
- Bernet, W., Boch-Galhau, W. von, Baker, A. J. L. & Morrison, S. (2010). Parental Aliena-
tion, DSM-5 and ICD-11. American Journal of Family Therapy 38(2):76 - 187.
- Bernet, W., Baker, A. J. L. & Verrocchio, M. C. (2015). Symptom Checklist-90-Revised
Scores in Adult Children Exposed to Alienating Behaviors: An Italian Sample. Jour-
nal of Forensic Sciences 60 (2): 357 – 362.
- Bernet, W., Wamboldt, M. Z. & Narrow, W. E. (2016 a). Child affected by parental relation-
ship distress, Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
55 (7): 571 – 579.
- Bernet, W., Öngider-Gregory, N., Reay, K. & Rohner, R. P. (2016 b). An Objective measure
of splitting in Parental Alienation: The Parental Acceptance-Rejection-Questionnaire,
Journal of Forensic Sciences, Vol. 55, Issue 10, Supplement, p. S201
- Blank, M. (2003). Anmerkungen zur Persönlichkeitsstruktur des betreuenden Elternteils als
mögliche zentrale Ursache für die Entstehung eines elterlichen
Entfremdungssyndroms. [Remarks on the personality structure of the custodial parent
as a possible central cause for the development of a parental alienation syndrome]
[German]. In: Boch-Galhau, W. von, Kodjoe, U., Andritzky, W. & Koepfel, P. (Eds.).
Das Parental Alienation Syndrom – Eine interdisziplinäre Herausforderung für
scheidungsbegleitende Berufe/The Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS) – An
Interdisciplinary Challenge for Professionals Involved with Divorce.
[German/English] pp. 343 - 351. Verlag Wissenschaft und Bildung (VWB), Berlin.

- Boch-Galhau, W. von (2013 a). Parental Alienation and Parental Alienation Syndrome/Disorder – A serious form of psychological child abuse – with case examples. Verlag Wissenschaft und Bildung, Berlin, Germany.
- Boch-Galhau, W. von (2013 b). Kritische Anmerkungen zu J. M. Fegert: „Endgültiges Aus für das Parental Alienation Syndrome im amerikanischen Klassifikationssystem DSM-5“ (ZKJ 2013, S. 190 f.), [Critical notes on J. M. Fegert „End of the road for the Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS) in the DSM-5 US classification system”] [German] (ZKJ 2013, p. 190f.), In: Zeitschrift für Kindschaftsrecht und Jugendhilfe, Heft 10: 400
- Boch-Galhau, W. von & Kodjoe, U. (2003) Parental Alienation Syndrome – Psychische Folgen für erwachsene Scheidungskinder und für betroffene Eltern. [Parental alienation syndrome - Psychological consequences for adult children of divorce and for affected parents] [German]. Interdisziplinäre Fachzeitschrift Kindesmisshandlung und – vernachlässigung 6 (1/2): 66 - 97
- Bowlby, J. (1969) Attachment and Loss, Vol. 1, Attachment, Basic Books, New York.
- Bowlby, J. (1973) Attachment and Loss, Vol. 2, Separation, Basic Books, New York.
- Bricklin, B. & Elliott, G. (2006). Psychological Test-Assisted Detection of Parental Alienation Syndrome. In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.), The International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations, pp. 264 - 275, C. C. Thomas, Springfield, IL.
- Broca, R. (2016), Un changement de paradigme : le modèle de Cochem [A paradigm shift : The Cochem model] [French]. In: Broca, R. & Odinetz, O. (Eds.), Séparations conflictuelles et aliénation parentale – Enfants en danger [High-conflict separation and parental alienation – children at risk] [French], pp. 317 – 325, Ed. Chronique Sociale, Lyon.
- Broca, R. & Odinetz, O. (Eds.) (2016), Séparations conflictuelles et aliénation parentale – Enfants en danger. [High-conflict separation and parental alienation – children at risk] [French], Ed. Chronique Sociale, Lyon.
- Brockhausen, T. (2013). Parental Alienation and the new Brazilian Law, Chap. 14, pp. 463 - 484, in: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.): Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield. An English translation of the Law 12318 from 26th august 2010 can be found at <http://www.mensdivorcelawblog.com/2010/brazil-outlaws-parental-alienation/>; the original Portuguese version at http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_Ato2007-2010/2010/Lei/L12318.htm (accessed on 21th February 2017).
- Bron, B., Strack, M. & Rudolph, G. (1991). Childhood experiences of loss and suicide attempts: significance in depressive states of major depressed and dysthymic or adjustment disordered patients. *Journal of affective disorders* 23: 165 - 172.
- Bruch, C. S. (2001). Parental Alienation Syndrome: Getting it Wrong in Child Custody Cases. *Family Law Quarterly* 35 (3): 527 - 552.
- Burrill, J. (2006). Descriptive statistics of the mild, moderate, and severe characteristics of Parental Alienation Syndrome, In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.): The International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations, pp. 49 – 55, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Ceci, S. J. & Bruck, M. (2002). *Jeopardy in the Courtroom - A Scientific Analysis of Children's Testimony* (6. Ed.). American Psychological Association, Washington, DC.

- Childress, C. (2015). *An attachment-based model of Parental Alienation* – Foundations, Oak-song Press, Claremont, CA.
- Cierpka, M. & Cierpka, A. (2000). Die Identifikationen eines missbrauchten Kindes [Identification of an abused child] [German] *Psychotherapeut* 42: 98 - 105.
- Clawar, S. S. & Rivlin, B. V. (1991). *Children Held Hostage. Dealing with Programmed and Brainwashed Children*. American Bar Association, Division of Family Law, Chicago.
- Clawar, S. S. & Rivlin, B. V. (2013). *Children Held Hostage, Identifying Brainwashed Children, Presenting a Case, and Crafting Solutions*, American Bar Association, Division of Family Law, Chicago.
- Clemente, M. & Padilla-Racero, D. (2015 a). Are children susceptible to manipulation? *Children and Youth Services Review* 51: 101 – 107.
- Clemente, M., Padilla-Racero, D., Gandoy-Crego, M., Reig-Botella, A. & Gonzalez-Rodriguez, R. (2015 b). Judicial decision-making in family law proceedings, *American Journal of Family Therapy* 43: 314 – 325.
- Clemente, M., Padilla-Racero, D. (2016). When courts accept what science rejects: Custody issues concerning the alleged “parental alienation syndrome”, *Journal of Child Custody* 13 (2 – 3): 126 - 133.
- Cour de Cassation in France [The National French Court of Appeal, June 26, 2013] *Le syndrome d’aliénation parentale reconnu par la Cour de cassation: les premiers pas d’une révolution dans le contentieux familial?* [Cour de cassation recognizes parental alienation syndrome: a first step towards revolution in family disputes?] [French] Ruling no. 660 of 26th June 2013 (12-14.392), Cour de cassation – First Civil Division – ECLI:FR:CCASS:2013:C100660; see also: <http://www.courdecassation.fr/jurisprudence_2/premiere_chambre_civile_568/660_26_26933.html> (accessed on 30th Jan., 2017)
- Dallam, S. & Silberg, J. L. (2016). Recommended treatments for “Parental Alienation Syndrome” (PAS) may cause children foreseeable and lasting psychological harm. *Journal of Child Custody* 13 (2 – 3): 134 – 143.
- Darnall, D. C. (2011). The psychosocial treatment of parental alienation, *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America* 20: 479 – 494.
- Darnall, D. C. (2013). Mild Cases of Parental Alienation, pp. 74 – 96. In: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (2013) *Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, Il.
- Deegener, G. & Körner, W. (Eds.) (2005). *Kindesmisshandlung und Vernachlässigung – Ein Handbuch* [Child Abuse and Neglect – A Handbook] [German], Hogrefe, Göttingen. Dossier Special Outreau, www.acalpa.org [accessed 20th may 2017]
- Dum, C. T. (2013 a). Parental Alienation Initiatives around the World, Chap. 13, pp. 425 - 462, in: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.): *Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield.
- Dum, C. T. (2013 b). Parental Alienation Initiatives around the World, Chap. 13, pp. 439 - 444, European Court of Human Rights, in: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.): *Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield.
- Eckhardt-Henn, A. (2000). Artificielle Störungen und Münchhausen-Syndrom. [Factitious disorders and the Münchhausen syndrome] [German]. In: Kernberg, O.F., Dulz, B. &

- Sachsse, U. (Eds.). Handbuch der Borderline- Störungen [Handbook of borderline disorders] [German], pp. 331 - 345. Schattauer, Stuttgart.
- Faller, K. C. (1998). The parental alienation syndrome: What is it and what data support it? *Child Maltreatment* 3 (2): 100 - 15.
- Faller, K. C. (2020). Introduction - Parental Alienation: A Contested Concept. APSAC. Advisor, Special Section: Contested Issues, Vol. 32, No. 1: 17 - 22.
- Fegert, J. M. (2001). Parental Alienation oder Parental Accusation Syndrome? Die Frage der Suggestibilität, Beeinflussung und Induktion in Umgangsrechtsgutachten [Parental Alienation or Parental Accusation Syndrome? The issue of suggestibility, indoctrination and induction in expert reports for access rights] [German]. *Kindschaftsrechtliche Praxis* 4 (1): 3 - 7 and 4 (2): 39 - 42.
- Fegert, J. M. (2013 a). Endgültiges Aus für das Parental Alienation Syndrom (PAS) im amerikanischen Klassifikationssystem DSM-5 [Final from the Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS) in the American Classification System (DSM-5)] [German]. *Zeitschrift für Kindschaftsrecht und Jugendhilfe*, Heft 5: 190 – 191.
- Fegert, J. M. (2013 b). Was fehlt, sind lediglich quantifizierende Studien - eine Replik [What is missing are only quantifying studies. A replic] [German]. *Zeitschrift für Kindschaftsrecht und Jugendhilfe*, Heft 10: 401.
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P. & Marks, J. S. et al. (1998) Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading Causes of Death in Adults The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *AM J Prev Med*; 14: 245-258.
- Fernández Cabanillas, F. J. (Ed.) (2017). *Manual del Síndrome de Alienación Parental* [Handbook of the Parental Alienation Syndrome] [Spanish], Editorial Paidós, Barcelona.
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.
- Fidler, B. J., N. Bala, R. Birnbaum & K. Kavassalis (2008). *Challenging Issues in Child Custody Assessments: A Guide for Legal and Mental Health Professionals*. Thomson Carswell, Toronto.
- Fidler, B., Bala, N. & Saini, M. A. (2013). *Children who Resist Postseparation Parental Contact – A Differential Approach for Legal and Mental Health Professionals*, American Psychology-Law Society Series, Oxford University-Press.
- Figdor, H. (2003). Psychodynamik bei sogenannten „Entfremdungsprozessen“ im Erleben von Kindern – ein kritischer Beitrag zum PAS-Konzept [Psychodynamic aspects in so-called “alienation processes” as experienced by children - A critical contribution to the PAS concept] [German]. In: Boch-Galhau, W. von, Kodjoe, U., Andritzky, W. & Koepfel, P. (Eds.): *Das Parental Alienation Syndrom – Eine interdisziplinäre Herausforderung für scheidungsbegleitende Berufe/ The Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS) – An Interdisciplinary Challenge for Professionals Involved with Divorce*. [German/English], pp. 187 - 206. Verlag Wissenschaft und Bildung (VWB), Berlin.
- Foran, H. M., Beach, S. R. H., Smith Slep, A. M., Heyman, R. E. & Wamboldt, M. Z. (Eds.) (2013). *Family Problems and Family Violence – Reliable Assessment and the ICD-11*, Springer Publisher Company, New York.
- Friedlander, S. & Walters, M. G. (2010) When a Child Rejects a Parent: Tailoring the Intervention to Fit the Problem, *Family Court Review* 48 (1): 98 – 111.

- Füchsle-Voigt, T., (2004). Verordnete Kooperation im Familienkonflikt als Prozess der Einstellungsänderung: Theoretische Überlegungen und praktische Umsetzung [Mandated cooperation in family conflicts as process of adjustment : Theoretical reflections and practical implementation] [German]. *Familie, Partnerschaft und Recht* 10 (11) 600 - 602.
- Gardner, R. A. (1985). Recent trends in divorce and custody litigation. *The Academy Forum* 29 (2): 3 – 7.
- Gardner, R. A. (1998). *The Parental Alienation Syndrome* (2nd ed.), Creative Therapeutics, Cresskill, NJ.
- Gardner, R. A. (2001 a). *Therapeutic Interventions for Children with Parental Alienation Syndrome*. Creative Therapeutics, Cresskill, NJ.
- Gardner, R. A. (2001 b). Should courts order PAS-children to visit/reside with the alienated parent? A Follow-up Study. *American Journal Forensic Psychology* 19 (3) 61 - 106.
- Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D., (Eds.) (2006). *The International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome: Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations*, Charles C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Gebhardt, G., Film: “Victims of Another War – The Aftermath of Parental Alienation” (www.victimsofanotherwar.com) (2005) (accessed 21th Febr. 2017)
- Gebhardt, G., Film: “Sarah Cecilie” (2015) (You can see all versions of the film through the Action Against Abduction website at <https://www.youtube.com/user/PACTonline> (accessed 27th June 2017); [original English version with German subtitles] and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3qgj3WXYHyo> (accessed 27th June 2017)
- Geffner, R. & Sandoval, A. H. (2020 a). Parental Alienation Syndrome/Parental Alienation Disorder (PAS/PAD): A critique of a „Disorder” Frequently Used to Discount Allegations of Interpersonal Violence and Abuse in Child Custody Cases, *APSAC Advisor*, Vol. 32, No. 1: 28 - 37.
- Geffner, R. & Sandoval, A. H. (2020 b). Can There Be a Bridge Between Interpersonal Violence/Abuse and Parental Alienation Proponents: A Response to Milchman, *APSAC Advisor*, Vol. 32, No. 1: 38 - 42.
- Gijseghem, H. van (2004). L’aliénation parentale : les principales controverses. [Parental Alienation: The principal controversies] [French] *La Revue d’Action Juridique et Sociale* 237 : 11 – 17.
- Gödde, M. (2008) Indikationsspezifische Strategien - Indikation: Umgangsverweigerung bzw. Kontaktverunsicherung [Indication-specific strategies – Indication: Contact refusal or uncertainty about contact] [German]; in: Fthenakis, W. E. (Ed.), *Begleiteter Umgang von Kindern - Ein Handbuch für die Praxis* [Supervised visitation of children – A practical handbook] [German], pp. 245 – 305, C. H. Beck, Munich.
- Gordon, R. M., (1998). The Medea Complex and the Parental Alienation Syndrome: When Mothers Damage Their Daughter’s Ability to Love a Man. In: Fenchel G.H. (Ed.) *The mother-daughter relationship: echoes through time*. pp. 207 - 225. Jason Aronson, Northvale, NJ.
- Gottlieb, L. J. (2012). *Parental alienation syndrome: A family therapy and collaborative systems approach to amelioration*. C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Gottlieb, L. J. (2013). *The Application of Structural Family Therapy to the Treatment of Parental Alienation Syndrome*, pp. 209 – 231; In: Baker, A. J. L. & Sauber, S. R., *Working with Alienated Children and Families – a Clinical Guidebook*, Routledge, New York.

- Hachenberg, M. (2014). Elterliche Entfremdung – Diagnose und mögliche Folgen. [Parental Alienation – Diagnoses and Possible Consequences] [German]. Diploma Thesis, Psychological Institute, University of Würzburg, Germany.
- Harman, J. J. & Biringen, Z. (2016). Parents Acting Badly; How Institutions and Society Promote the Alienation of Children from their Loving Families. The Colorado Parental Alienation Project, Fort Collins, CO.
- Harman, J. J., Leder-Elder, S. & Biringen, Z. (2016). Prevalence of Parental Alienation drawn from a representative poll. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 66: 62 - 66.
- Heiliger, A. & Hack, E. K. (Eds.) (2008). Väter um jeden Preis - zur Kritik am Sorge- und Umgangsrecht [Fathers at all costs - a criticism of custody and visitations rights] [German], Verlag Frauenoffensive, Munich.
- Hellblom Sjögren, L. (2003). Making a Parent Dangerous – PAS in Sweden and Norway/Einen Elternteil gefährlich machen – PAS in Schweden und Norwegen. In: Boch-Galhau, W. von, Kodjoe, U., Andritzky, W. & Koepfel, P. (Eds.): *Das Parental Alienation Syndrom – Eine interdisziplinäre Herausforderung für scheidungsbegleitende Berufe/The Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS) – An Interdisciplinary Challenge for Professionals Involved with Divorce*. [German/English] pp. 235 - 248. Verlag Wissenschaft und Bildung (VWB), Berlin.
- Hetherington, E. M. & Kelly, J. (2002) *For Better or For Worse Divorce Reconsidered*, W. W. Norton & company. Inc., New York, London.
- Heuchemer, M. O. (2017). Kardinalfehler der Rechtsprechung im Umgangsrecht – Rechtsfehler, Rechtsverletzungen und die (In-)Effizienz prozess- und materiell-rechtlicher Korrekturmechanismen im Instanzenzug am Beispiel der Eltern-Kind-Entfremdung (Parental Alienation Syndrome “PAS”) [Cardinal errors in judgements on access rights – legal errors, violations of rights and the (in)efficiency of corrective mechanisms in procedural and substantive law through the appeals process with reference to the example of Parental Alienation Syndrome “PAS”] [German], *Familie und Recht* 7: 368 – 372.
- Hinterhofer, H., Müller, T., Payrhuber, D., Pletzer, R. (2013) Das Eltern-Entfremdungssyndrom (PAS) aus medizinischer und rechtlicher Sicht. [The Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS) from the point of view of medicine and law] [German] *Juristische Blätter* 135: 420 – 428 (Teil I) and 135: 488 – 496 (Teil II).
- Hirigoyen, M.-F. (2016). L’aliénation parentale comme abus de faiblesse [Parental Alienation as abuse of weakness] [French], pp. 102 – 115, in: Broca, R. & Odinetz, O. (Eds.), *Séparations conflictuelles et aliénation parentale – Enfants en danger* [High-conflict separation and parental alienation – children at risk] [French], Ed. Chronique Sociale, Lyon.
- Hummel, K. (2010). Entsorgte Väter – Der Kampf um die Kinder: Warum Männer weniger Recht bekommen [Denied fathers – the battle over children: Why legal decisions favour men less frequently] [German], Lübbe-Verlag, Cologne.
- Johnston, J. R. (2005). Children of Divorce who Reject a Parent and Refuse Visitation: Recent Research and Social Policy Implications for the Alienated Child, *Family Law Quarterly* 38 (4): 757 – 775.
- Johnston, J. R. & Roseby, V. (1997). *In the name of the child: A developmental approach to understanding and helping children of conflicted und violent divorce*. Free Press, New York.

- Johnston, J. R. & Girdner, L. K. (2001). Family Abductors: Descriptive Profiles and Preventive Interventions. *Juvenile Justice Bulletin* 1: 1 - 7. U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
- Kelly, J. B., & Johnston, J. R., (2001). The Alienated Child, A Reformulation of Parental Alienation Syndrome, *Family Court Review* 39 (3): 249 – 66.
- Kelly, J. B. (2010). Commentary on “Family Bridges: Using insights from social science to reconnect parents and alienated children” (Warshak, 2010). *Family Court Review* 48 (1): 81 – 90.
- Kernberg, O.F., Dulz, B. & Sachsse, U. (Eds.). (2000). *Handbuch der Borderlinestörungen. [Handbook of Borderline Personality Disorders] [German]*. Schattauer, Stuttgart.
- Klenner, W. (1995). *Rituale der Umgangsvereitelung [Rituals of visitation refusal] [German]* *Zeitschrift für das gesamte Familienrecht* 42 (24): 1529 – 1535.
- Klosinski, G. (1993). Psychological maltreatment in the context of separation and divorce. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 17: 557 – 563.
- Kodjoe, U. (2000). Auswirkungen des Vater-Kind-Kontaktverlustes: der immaterielle Schaden aus psychologischer Sicht – Anmerkungen zur Elsholz-Entscheidung des Europäischen Gerichtshofs für Menschenrechte. [Consequences of father-child contact disruption: The “immaterial loss” from a psychological view-point. Remarks about the Elsholz decision] [German]. *Der Amtsvormund* 73 (8): 641 - 643.
- Kodjoe, U. & Koepfel, P. (1998). The Parental Alienation Syndrome (PAS). *Der Amtsvormund* 71 (1): 9 – 26 and 71 (2): 135 - 140.
- Kolk, van der, B.A., McFarlane, A.C. & Weisaeth, L. (Eds.). (2000). *Traumatic Stress, Grundlagen und Behandlungsansätze – Theorie, Praxis und Forschung zu posttraumatischem Stress sowie Traumatherapie, [Traumatic Stress – The effects of overwhelming experience on mind, body and society] [German]*, Junfermann, Paderborn.
- Kopetski, L. (1998 a). Identifying Cases of Parent Alienation Syndrome, Part I. *The Colorado Lawyer* 27 (2): 65 - 68.
- Kopetski, L. (1998 b). Identifying Cases of Parent Alienation Syndrome – Part II. *The Colorado Lawyer* 27 (3): 61 – 64.
- Kopetski, L. (2006). Commentary: Parental Alienation Syndrome. In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.): *The International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations*, pp. 378 – 390, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Kopetski, L., Rand, D. & Rand, R. (2005). The spectrum of Parental Alienation Syndrome, (Part III): The Kopetski Follow-Up Study, *American Journal of Forensic Psychology* 23 (1): 15 – 43.
- Kopetski, L., Rand, D. & Rand, R. (2006). Incidence, Gender, and False Allegations of Child Abuse: Data on 84 Parental Alienation Syndrome Cases. In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.): *The International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations*, pp. 65 - 70, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Loftus, E. & Ketcham, K., (1995). Die Therapierte Erinnerung vom Mythos der Verdrängung bei Anklagen wegen sexuellen Missbrauchs. [The myth of repressed memory: False allegations of sexual abuse] [German], Klein, Hamburg.
- Lorandos, D. (2006). Parental Alienation Syndrome: Detractors and the Junk Science Vacuum. In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.), *The International*

- Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations, pp. 397 – 418, C. C. Thomas, Springfield, IL.
- Lorandos, D. (2013). Parental Alienation and North American Law, Chap. 12, pp. 348 - 424 (= PA-rulings in USA and Canada) in: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (2013): Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals, C. C. Thomas, Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Lorandos, D. & Bernet, W. (2020). Science and Law, C. C. Thomas, Springfield, IL.
- Lowenstein, L. F. (2006). The Psychological Effects and Treatment of the Parental Alienation Syndrome. In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.), The International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome – Conceptual, Clinical and Legal Considerations, pp. 292 – 301, C. C. Thomas, Springfield, IL.
- Lowenstein, L. F. (2007). Parental Alienation. How to understand and address parental alienation resulting from acrimonious divorce or separation, Russelt House Publ, Dorset.
- Marique, B. (2016). Le modèle de consensus parental de Dinant, Belgique [The parental consensus model of Dinant, Belgium] [French]. In: Broca, R. & Odinetz, O. (Eds.), Séparations conflictuelles et aliénation parentale – Enfants en danger [High-conflict separation and parental alienation – children at risk] [French], pp. 326 – 338, Ed. Chronique Sociale, Lyon.
- Meier, J. S. & Dickson, S. (2017). Mapping gender: Shedding empirical light on family courts' treatment of cases involving abuse and alienation. *Law & Inequality: A Journal of Theory and Practice*, 35 (2): 311 - 334.
- Minuchin, S. (1974). *Families and family therapy*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge MA.
- Mullen, P. E., Martin, J. L., Anderson, J. C., Romans, S. E. & Herbison, G. P., (1996), The Long-term Impact of the Physical, Emotional and Sexual abuse of Children: A Community Study, *Child Abuse & Neglect* 20 (1): 7 – 21.
- Novković, V., Buljan Flander, G. & Hercigonja, D. (2012). Parental manipulation with children as a form of emotional abuse. 40: 151 - 156.
- Oberlandesgericht Frankfurt/M. [Frankfurt/Main higher regional court] (6WF168/00, Ruling from 26th oct. 2000); in: *Zeitschrift für das gesamte Familienrecht (FamRZ)* 48 (10) 2001, p. 638.
- Palandt, O., Bassenge, P., & Brudermüller, G. (2008). *Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch [German Civil Code]* (68th edition.) [German], Beck Juristischer Verlag, Munich.
- Parental Alienation Database (2016). Center for Knowledge, Vanderbilt University, Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA, www.mc.vanderbilt.edu/pasg (accessed 21th february 2017).
- Paricard, S., (2013). Le syndrome d'aliénation parentale, catalyseur d'un conflit des droits de l'enfant [The parental alienation syndrome – a catalyst for conflict of children's rights] [French]; pp. 71 – 89, In: Neinrinck, C. & Bruggemann, M. (Eds.), *La Convention Internationale des Droits de l'Enfant, une Convention Particulière [The International Convention on the Rights of the Child, a special convention]* [French], Dalloz, Paris.
- Petri, H. (2011). *Das Drama der Vaterentbehrung. [The drama of being deprived of a father]* [German] Herder, Freiburg.
- Rand, D.C. (1993). Münchhausen syndrome by proxy: a complex type of emotional abuse responsible for some false allegations of child abuse in divorce. *Issues in Child Abuse Accusations* 5 (3): 135 – 155.

- Rand, D.C. (1997 a). The Spectrum of Parental Alienation Syndrome (Part I). *Am. J. Forensic Psychol.* 15: 23 - 51.
- Rand, D.C. (1997 b). The Spectrum of Parental Alienation Syndrome (Part II). *Am. J. Forensic Psychol.* 15: 39 – 92.
- Rand, D. C. (2011). Parental Alienation Critics and the Politics of Science. *American Journal of Family Therapy* 39: 48 – 71.
- Reay, K. (2011). *Toxic divorce: A workbook for alienated parents*. Penticton, Canada.
- Reay, K. (2015). Family Reflections: A promising therapeutic program designed to treat severely alienated children and their family system. *American Journal of Family Therapy* 43 (2): 197 – 207.
- Rudolph, J. (2007). *Du bist mein Kind – Die „Cochemer Praxis“ – Wege zu einem menschlichen Familienrecht*, [You are my child – the Cochem approach – Towards a humane family law] [German], Schwarzkopf & Schwarzkopf, Berlin.
- Rueda, C. A. (2004). An inter-rater reliability study of parental alienation syndrome. *American Journal of Family Therapy* 32 (5): 391 – 403.
- Saini, M., Drozd, L. & Olesen, N. (2016) *Parenting Plan Evaluations: Applied Research for the Family Court* (2nd Ed.), Oxford University Press.
- Salgo, L., (2006) *Das Wohl des Kindes unter den Aspekten gesetzlicher Einflüsse* [The welfare of a child and the influences of the law] [German]; In: Brisch, K. H. & Hellbrügge, Th. (Eds.) *Kinder ohne Bindung – Deprivation, Adoption und Psychotherapie* [Children without attachment – deprivation, adoption and psychotherapy] [German], pp. 259 – 276, Klett-Cotta, Stuttgart.
- Salzgeber, J. (2003). Zum aktuellen Stand der PAS-Diskussion [On the current status of the PAS debate] [German]. *Forum Familien- und Erbrecht* 7 (6): 232 - 235.
- Salzgeber (2011). Rezension von William Bernet: *Parental Alienation, DSM-5 and ICD-11* [Review of William Bernet: DSM-5 and ICD-11] [German], *Zeitschrift für Kindschaftsrecht und Jugendhilfe*, Heft 12: 480 – 482.
- Sauber, S. R. (2011). Differentiation between alienation, estrangement and bona fide abuse for the development of the reunification plan. *Canadian Symposium for Parental Alienation Syndrome*, Dawson College, Montreal, Canada.
- Sauber, S. R. (2013). Reunification Planning and Therapy, pp. 190 – 231; In: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.) *Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Sauber, S. R. & Worenklein, A. (2013). *Custody Evaluations in Alienation Cases*, pp. 47 – 70, In: Baker, A. J. L. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.). *Working with Alienated Children and Families – A Clinical Guidebook*, Routledge, New York.
- Siegel, J. & Langford, J. (1998). MMPI-2 validity scales and suspected parental alienation syndrome. *American Journal of Forensic Psychology* 16 (4): 5 – 14.
- Staudingers, J. von (2014). *Kommentar zum Bürgerlichen Gesetzbuch mit Einführungsgesetz und Nebengesetzen, Buch 4 Familienrecht §§ 1684 – 1717 (Elterliche Sorge 3 – Umgangsrecht)*, [Commentary on the German Civil Code incl. the Introductory Act and the Ancillary Laws, Vol. 4 Family law, Sections 1684 – 1717 (Parental responsibility 3 – Access rights)] [German], new revised edition by Coester, Rauscher, Salgo; Sellier-deGruyter- Verlag, Berlin.
- Steller, M. (1998) *Aussagepsychologie vor Gericht, Methodik und Probleme von Glaubwürdigkeitsgutachten mit Hinweisen auf die Wormser Missbrauchsprozesse*. [Witness psychology in court, methodology and problems with credibility]

- assessments, with references to the sexual abuse trials of Worms] [German] *Recht & Psychiatrie* 16 (1): 1 – 18.
- Stephens, R. (2016). The Long History of PAS. <http://unknownmisandry.blogspot.com/2016/12/the-long-history-of-pas-parental.html> (accessed 21 February 2017).
- Tejedor Huerta, A., Molina Bartumeus, A. & Vazquez Orellana, N. (2013), PIVIP – Programa de Intervención para Víctimas de Interferencias Parentales [Intervention Program for Victims of Parental Interferences] [Spanish] EOS, Madrid.
- Templer, K., Matthewson, M., Haines, J. & Cox, G. (2016). Recommendations for best Practice in Response to Parental Alienation: Findings from a Systematic Review, *Journal of Family Therapy*, Sept. 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6427.1213>
- Thaler-Singer, M. (2003), *Cults in Our Midst. The Continuing Fight Against Their Hidden Menace*, A Wiley Imprint, San Francisco, CA.
- Uexküll, Th. von (2002). *Psychosomatische Medizin*. [Psychosomatic Medicine] [German] (5th ed.). Urban & Schwarzenberg, Munich, Vienna, Baltimore.
- Volbert, R., (2004). *Beurteilung von Aussagen über Traumata – Erinnerungen und ihre psychologische Bewertung*, [Assessing witness testimonies related to trauma – memories and their psychological analysis] [German], Huber, Bern.
- Wallerstein, J. S. & Kelly, J. B. (1976). The effects of parental divorce: Experiences of the child in later latency. *Am. J. Orthopsychiatry* 46: 256 - 269.
- Wallerstein, J. S. & Kelly, J. B. (1980). *Surviving the Breakup: How Children and Parents Cope with Divorce*. Basic Book, New York, NY.
- Wallerstein, J., Lewis, J. M., & Blakeslee, S. (2000). *The Unexpected Legacy of Divorce – The 25 Year Landmark Study*. Hyperion, New York, NY.
- Warshak, R. A. (2006). Social Science and Parental Alienation: Examining the Disputes and the Evidence, pp. 352 – 371; In: Gardner, R. A., Sauber, S. R. & Lorandos, D. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Parental Alienation Syndrome*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Warshak, R. A. (2010 a). Family Bridges: Using insights from social science to reconnect parents and alienated children. *Family Court Review* 48 (1): 48 - 80.
- Warshak, R. A. (2010 b). Alienating audiences from innovation: The perils of polemics, ideology, and innuendo. *Family Court Review* 48(1): 153 - 163.
- Warshak, R. A. (2013), Severe Cases of Parental Alienation; pp. 125 – 162, In: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.), *Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- Warshak, R. A. (2015 a). Ten parental alienation fallacies that compromise decisions in court and in therapy, *Professional Psychology, Research and Practice* 46 (4): 235 – 249.
- Warshak, R. A. (2015 b) Parental alienation: overview, management, intervention and practice tips, *Journal of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers* Vol. 28: 181 – 248.
- Warshak, R. A. (2019). Reclaiming Parent-Child Relationships: Outcomes of Family Bridges with Alienated Children, *Journal of Divorce & Remarriage*. 60 (8): 645 - 667. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10502556.2018.1529505>
- Warshak, R. A. (2020). When Evaluators get it wrong: False positive IDs and Parental Alienation. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 26 (1): 54 - 68.
- Warshak, R. A., & Otis, M. R. (2010). Helping alienated children with Family Bridges: Practice, research, and the pursuit of “humbition.” *Family Court Review* 48 (1): 91 - 97.

- Whitcombe, S. (2013). Psychopathology and the conceptualisation of mental disorder: The debate around the inclusion of Parental Alienation in DSM-5. *Counselling Psychology Review* Vol. 28, no. 3: 6 – 18.
- Winnicott, D.W. (1990). *Reifungsprozesse und fördernde Umwelt*. [Maturation processes and a nurturing environment] [German] Fischer, Frankfurt.
- Woodall, K. (2016). Working with alienated children and their families – A European perspective, in: *Parental Alienation International* 1 (6): 7 – 10.
- Woodall, N. & Woodall, K. (2014). Understanding and working with the alienated child, Family Separation Clinic London, see: www.familyseparationclinic.co.uk (accessed 21th February 2017).
- Woodall, N. & Woodall, K. (2019). Working with post-separation pathological splitting in children. Family Separation Clinic, London, U.K.
- Worenklein, A. (2013). Moderate Cases of Parental Alienation, pp. 97 – 194, in: Lorandos, D., Bernet, W. & Sauber, S. R. (Eds.), *Parental Alienation – The Handbook for Mental Health and Legal Professionals*, C. C. Thomas Publ., Springfield, IL.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (May 2019). International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems (11th revision). Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/en/> on 20th may 2020.